



October 5, 2022

GrayRobinson, P.A.
William A. Boyles, Esq.
301 East Pine St, Suite 1400
Orlando, Florida 32801
william.boyles@gray-robinson.com

Re: North Brevard County Medical District/Deligdish/301004021

Dear Mr. Boyles:

We hereby serve you notice that a complaint has been filed with this office by Dr. Craig Deligdish alleging discriminatory employment practices in violation of Anti-Money Laundering Act, 31 U.S.C. § 5323 (g) & (j) (AMLA). Enclosed please find a copy of the complaint and Secretary's determination that the complaint was untimely and that Complainant/Respondent are not covered by OSHA's jurisdiction.

The complaint in the above-captioned matter has been dismissed by this office. This case will be closed unless Complainant files an appeal within 30 calendar days after they receive notification of this dismissal.

If at any time you have any questions, please feel free to contact this office.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Lemaya Jones-Mullins".

Lemaya Jones-Mullins
Regional Supervisory Investigator

Enclosure: Complaint
Copy of Secretary's Findings



October 5, 2022

Craig Deligdish, M.D.
1344 S. Apollo Blvd
Melbourne, FL 32901
deligdishc@omnihealthcare.com

Re: North Brevard County Medical District/Deligdish/301004021

Dear Dr. Deligdish:

This is to advise you that we have completed our investigation of the above-referenced complaint filed by you, Craig Deligdish, M.D. (Complainant), against the North Brevard County Medical District. (Respondent) on September 6, 2022 under the Anti-Money Laundering Act, 31 U.S.C. § 5323 (g) & (j) (AMLA). In brief, Complainant alleged he was terminated after reporting illegal activities and money laundering to management and enforcement agencies.

Following an investigation by a duly-authorized investigator, the Secretary of Labor, acting through his agent, the Regional Administrator for the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Region IV, issues the following findings:

Secretary's Findings

Complainant alleged that he was terminated on June 2, 2021. Complainant filed a complaint with the Secretary of Labor alleging that the adverse action was in violation of the whistleblower provision of the AMLA on September 6, 2022. As this complaint was not filed within 90 days of the alleged adverse action, it is deemed untimely.

Respondent is not an employer within the meaning of 31 U.S.C. § 5323(g) & (j). Respondent is a Florida independent special district/local government entity.

Complainant is not a whistleblower within the meaning of 31 U.S.C. § 5323(g) & (j). Complainant and Respondent are not engaged in an employer/employee relationship; Complainant is an independent contractor and acknowledged that he is not an employee of Respondent.

Consequently, this complaint is dismissed.

Respondent and Complainant have 30 days from the receipt of these Findings to file objections and to request a hearing before an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ). If no objections are filed, these Findings will become final and not subject to court review.

Objections must be filed in writing with the Office of Administrative Law Judges:

Primary method - via email to: OALJ-Filings@dol.gov

Secondary method (if unable to file via email) - via hard copy submission to:

Chief Administrative Law Judge - Office of Administrative Law Judges
U.S. Department of Labor
800 K Street NW, Suite 400 North
Washington, D.C. 20001-8002
Telephone: (202) 693-7300; Fax: (202) 693-7365

With copies to:

GrayRobinson, P.A.
William A. Boyles, Esq.
301 East Pine St, Suite 1400
Orlando, Florida 32801
william.boyles@gray-robinson.com

And

Assistant Regional Administrator, WPP

Primary method – via email to: OSHA-REG4-WB@dol.gov

Secondary method (if unable to copy via email) – via hardcopy submission to:

U.S. Department of Labor – OSHA
Sam Nunn Atlanta Federal Center
61 Forsyth Street, SW, Room 6T50
Atlanta, GA 30303

In addition, please be advised that the U.S. Department of Labor does not represent any party in the hearing; rather, each party presents his or her own case. The hearing is an adversarial proceeding before an Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) in which the parties are allowed an opportunity to present their evidence for the record. The ALJ who conducts the hearing will issue a decision based on the evidence and arguments, presented by the parties. Review of the ALJ's decision may be sought from the Administrative Review Board, to which the Secretary of Labor has delegated responsibility for issuing final agency decisions under the AMLA. A copy of this letter has been sent to the Chief Administrative Law Judge along with a copy of the complaint. The rules and procedures for the handling of AMLA cases can be found in Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations Part 1979 and may be obtained at www.whistleblowers.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Lemaya Jones-Mullins".

Lemaya Jones-Mullins
Regional Supervisory Investigator

cc: Respondent
Department of the Treasury
Chief Administrative Law Judge, USDOL